Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



# Journal of Marine Systems



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jmarsys

# Influences of large- and regional-scale climate on fish recruitment in the Skagerrak–Kattegat over the last century



Hans W. Linderholm <sup>a,\*</sup>, Massimiliano Cardinale <sup>b</sup>, Valerio Bartolino <sup>a,b</sup>, Deliang Chen <sup>a</sup>, Tinghai Ou <sup>a,c</sup>, Henrik Svedäng <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Regional Climate Group, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Box 460, 405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Marine Research, Department of Aquatic Resources, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Box 4, 453 21 Lysekil, Sweden

<sup>c</sup> Department of Oceanography, Chonnam National University, 500-757 Gwanju, Republic of Korea

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 August 2013 Received in revised form 12 February 2014 Accepted 13 February 2014 Available online 22 February 2014

Keywords: Fish recruitment Climate Sea-surface temperature Atmospheric circulation Twentieth century Skagerrak–Kattegat

# ABSTRACT

Dynamics of commercial fish stocks are generally associated with fishing pressure and climate variability. Due to short time series, past studies of the relationships between fish stock dynamics and climate have mainly been restricted to the last few decades. Here we analyzed a century-long time series of plaice, cod and haddock from the Skagerrak–Kattegat, to assess the long-term influence of climate on recruitment. Recruitment success ( $R_s$ ) was compared against sea-surface temperature (SST) and atmospheric circulation indices on large (North Atlantic) and regional (Skagerrak-Kattegat) scales. Our results show that the influence of climate on R<sub>s</sub> was more pronounced on longer, than on shorter timescales. Over the century-long period, a shift from low to high climate sensitivity was seen from the early to the late part for plaice and cod, while the opposite was found for haddock. This shift suggests that the increasing fishing pressure and the climate change in the Skagerrak-Kattegat have resulted in an increased sensitivity of  $R_s$  to climate for plaice and cod. The diminishing of climate sensitivity in haddock  $R_s$ . on the other hand, may be linked to the early twentieth century collapse of the stock in the region. While no longterm relationship between Rs and the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) could be found, large Rs fluctuations during the positive phase of the AMO (1935–1960), relative to the cold phases, suggests a changed pattern in recruitment during warm periods. On the other hand, this could be due to the increased fishing pressure in the area. Thus, reported correlations between climate and fish may be caused by strong trends in climate in the latetwentieth century, and coincident reduction in fish stocks caused by intense fishing, rather than a stable relationship between climate and fish recruitment per se.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Since the industrialization of fisheries at the end of the nineteenth century, fish stocks have been largely exploited worldwide (Myers and Worm, 2003). In the North Eastern Atlantic, several cod stocks have strongly declined in the last decades due to high fishing pressure (Sparholt et al., 2007). In some cases, such as the coastal aggregations of cod in the Kattegat, exploitation has driven them to the verge of extinction (Bartolino et al., 2012; Svedäng and Bardon, 2003; Svedäng et al., 2010). Nevertheless, marine ecosystems also change naturally in a variety of time scales, from seasonal to centennial and longer, as a response to climate variability (Lehodey et al., 2006). Thus, in order to assess the human impact on the temporal fluctuation of commercially exploited species, their response to changing natural environment and climate must be understood. However, it is difficult to determine how

much of the recent population variability is due to fishing pressure and how much is instead a response to climate and environmental change (e.g. Cardinale et al., 2008; ICES, 2010). Understanding the link between fish recruitment and climate variability is crucial for the management of marine ecosystems, and for the economical perspective of having sustainable fisheries.

Temperature is one of the most important environmental variables associated with marine life and its variability. Temperature has an impact on marine productivity in general (e.g. Behrenfeld et al., 2006), and it affects multiple processes that regulate fish populations. The direct implication of temperature on the survival of early life stages (Genner et al., 2009), on growth (Brander, 1995) and maturity and time of spawning (Page and Frank, 1989) has been demonstrated (Ottersen et al., 2001; Sundby, 2000). Recent studies have proposed recruitment success as a key process for understanding the effects of climate variability on fish populations (Stige et al., 2006), because it integrates the effects of environmental changes on the productivity and survival of the early life stages (i.e. eggs and larvae) and on the quality and extension of nursery habitats (Rijnsdorp et al., 2009). Recruitment

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +46 317862887; fax: +46317861986. *E-mail address*: hansl@gvc.gu.se (H.W. Linderholm).

in fish stocks located at the latitudinal limits of the species distribution appears to be more influenced by temperature (Brunel and Boucher, 2006; Grebmeier et al., 2006; Leggett and Frank, 1997; O'Brien et al., 2000; Philippart et al., 1998). Thus, increasing temperatures can be favorable for stocks at the northern limit of their distribution, but may be detrimental for those at the southern limit (Brunel and Boucher, 2007; Mantzouni et al., 2010; Planque and Frédou, 1999; Righton et al., 2010).

However, since the driving mechanisms behind fluctuations in fish populations are a multifaceted mix of climatic and biological factors, where fishing adds complexity to the impact of these factors, it can be difficult, if not impossible, to disentangle the effect of single environmental variables on fish stock fluctuations. In this context, large-scale integrative climate features, such as the atmospheric circulation or basin-wide sea-surface temperature (SST) variability, may provide valuable insight into the complex climate-fish associations. It is well established that the atmospheric circulation over the North Atlantic region, defined as the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), has a significant impact on regional climate, e.g. temperature, precipitation, storms and cloudiness, (Chen and Hellström, 1999; Folland et al., 2009; Hurrell et al., 2003), and from a marine ecosystem point of view, the NAO induces variations not only in temperature but also in salinity, vertical mixing, circulation patterns and ice formation of the North Atlantic Ocean (Visbeck et al., 2003). Consequently, late-twentieth century changes in marine ecosystems have been related to the NAO (e.g. Ottersen et al., 2006; Rosenzweig et al., 2007; Stige et al., 2006).

Regarding large-scale SST variations, several studies in recent years have suggested effects of relatively long-term (decadal-to-multidecadal) changes in ocean temperatures on fish abundance and recruitment, such



Fig. 1. Map of the Skagerrak-Kattegat, with the location of fish survey points.

as the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) (Condron et al., 2005; Gröger et al., 2010; Nye et al., 2011) or the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) (Mantua et al., 1997), where the long-term SST fluctuations are associated with shifts in the limits of distribution of different species. Naturally, these "oscillations" in the ocean and the atmosphere cannot be regarded as independent influences on ecosystems, since they are strongly influenced by each other.

In this paper, a hundred year-long time series of fish-survey data is used to investigate the association between fish recruitment and atmospheric circulation and SST variability in the Skagerrak–Kattegat region of the eastern North Sea. Our aim is to assess the relative importance of the chosen climate variables on the recruitment of three commercially important fish species, European plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*), haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) and Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*), during 1904–2004. Given the large temporal coverage, we could investigate changes in the association between recruitment and climate along with global warming and increasing fishing pressure, as well as the influence of climate on two different temporal scales; decadal and interannual. Moreover, by using climate indices representing different spatial scales, the importance of large and regional-scale influences on recruitment success was evaluated.

# 2. Material and methods

# 2.1. Study area

The Skagerrak-Kattegat is an area of transition between the North Sea and the Baltic Sea (Fig. 1). The region is influenced by the inflow from the Atlantic Ocean and the large-scale atmospheric circulation, where the westerly winds have a strong effect on water transport and distribution, vertical mixing and surface heat flux, all which are important for marine productivity, recruitment, growth and distribution of fish stocks (Svendsen et al., 1995). The Skagerrak basin is rather deep (average depth 210 m) and it is considerably deeper than adjacent parts of both the North Sea and the Kattegat, with the largest portion of the water body of Atlantic origin (Gustafsson, 1999). Under normal conditions water of higher salinity from the North Sea flows near the bottom into the Skagerrak while water from the Baltic Sea of lower salinity flows in the opposite direction in the surface layers (Alheit and Hagen, 1997). The relative proportions of North Sea and Baltic Sea water fluctuates widely depending on the water level in the Baltic Sea, wind direction and wind stress (Gustafsson and Andersson, 2001; Gustafsson and Stigebrandt, 1996).

# 2.2. Fish data

A database was established by compiling all known archived otter trawl surveys carried out in the Kattegat-Skagerrak (Fig. 1) from 1904 to 2007 by the Institute of Marine Research, Sweden, and its predecessors. Trawl station information included date, haul duration, towing speed and gear opening (i.e. to compute the swept area), setting and hauling position (latitude and longitude; prior to the use of a Geographical Position System those were reconstructed from detailed fishing area information included in the archived haul information) and depth (meters). Hauls used in the analysis were conducted exclusively during daylight hours. For each haul, number of individuals caught and fish total length (cm) were recorded for plaice, cod and haddock. For each haul, we calculated the density in number of small fish  $(n \cdot km^{-2})$  and the density in biomass of large fish (kg·km<sup>-2</sup>) as local estimates of recruitment (R) and spawning stock biomass (SSB), respectively. Recruits were individuals between 20 and 30 cm for cod and haddock (mostly individuals aged 1 year) and 16 and 20 cm for plaice (individuals 1 and 2 years old). SSB was represented by individuals larger than the size at which 50% of fish in the population are mature (i.e., 40 cm for cod, 35 cm for haddock, 25 cm for plaice), and converting numbers



Fig. 2. Recruitment success ( $R_s$ ) time series for a) plaice; b) haddock and c) cod. The dotted red lines shows the interpolated values of  $R_s$  for all species. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

into biomasses using a length–weight power relationship (weight =  $a \cdot \text{length}^b$ ) (see Cardinale et al., 2009, 2012 for details).

A generalized additive model (GAM, Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990) was used to standardize R and SSB to account for differences in month, geographical position, and depth of the sampling among years (see Maunder and Punt, 2004 for a useful review of different standardization approaches). Hence, standardized estimates of annual R and SSB were derived from the model for each species, and used to calculate the logarithm of their recruitment success, i.e. the ratio between R and SSB (R<sub>s</sub>: Cardinale and Hjelm, 2006; Stige et al., 2006). R<sub>s</sub> is a measure of the number of recruits produced by a unit biomass of spawners, hence it is independent from the size of the adult population. This is particularly relevant for the long time frame of our analysis, during which the size of the adult populations of the three species investigated has certainly experienced wide variations. Because of the targeting behavior of the fisheries mainly directed towards adult fish, fisheries exploitation has a direct impact on the SSB. For this reason the use of R<sub>S</sub> instead of R is a way to account for the major effect of fishing on the recruitment variability via reduction of the reproductive portion of the stock. Moreover, the use of biomass for standardizing recruitment on the size of the reproductive component, has also the potentiality to account for the size selectivity of the fishery and the consequent size truncation in the adult fish populations that has been related to intense fishing exploitation in the area (Cardinale et al., 2012). The R<sub>S</sub> time series are shown in Fig. 2. For more details on sampling and standardization procedures, see



**Fig. 3.** Normalized annual average time series of the climate indices used in the study: a)  $SST_{AMO}$ ; b)  $SST_{REG}$ ; c) NAO and d) NAO<sub>REG</sub>. Thick black lines represent low-pass filtered data, thin gray high-pass filtered data. See text for sources of data.

the Appendix and supplementary materials in Cardinale et al. (2009, 2010). Recruitment time series of plaice and of SSB for cod, haddock and plaice have been recently published (Bartolino et al., 2012; Cardinale et al., 2010, 2012) and the reader is referred to these publications for further details.

#### 2.3. Climate data

To assess the long-term effect of climate on R<sub>s</sub>, we chose two variables, sea-surface temperature (SST) and the NAO index, which have been linked with fish variability previously (e.g. Buch et al., 2005; Drinkwater, 2005; Lehodey et al., 2006; Ottersen et al., 2001; Wegner et al., 2003). To assess the influence of scale, we used both large-scale (North Atlantic Ocean) and regional (Skagerrak-Kattegat) climate indices. An annual AMO index, which represents multidecadal variability of SST in the North Atlantic, was chosen to represent large-scale SST variability. The AMO has been shown to influence temperatures and rainfall over much of the Northern Hemisphere, particularly in North America and Europe (Knight et al., 2006; Sutton and Hodson, 2005). When the AMO is in its positive (warm) phase, summers in North Western Europe are milder and wetter, while the opposite is true for the negative (cold) phase of the AMO (Folland et al., 2009). The AMO index was calculated from the Kaplan SST dataset (Kaplan et al., 1998), and is henceforth referred to as SST<sub>AMO</sub>. The index (Fig. 3a), was obtained from the NOAA Earth System Laboratory webpage (http://www.cdc. noaa.gov/data/timeseries/AMO/). The AMO time series is usually

computed as the area weighted average of SST over the North Atlantic from 0° to 70°N which is then detrended to remove the effects of global warming (e.g. Enfield et al., 2001). To highlight the low frequency variability, the AMO index is usually smoothed, but here we used the unfiltered version of the index. More details about the AMO index can be found in Enfield et al. (2001).

Data from the gridded Hadley Centre SST data set (HadSST2), obtained from http://hadobs.metoffice.com/hadsst2/, was used to create a regional SST index. The HadSST2 dataset is based on quality checked in-situ measurements, where calculated monthly anomalies are averaged on a 5° by 5° grid. After gridding the anomalies, bias corrections are applied to remove spurious trends caused by changes in measuring practices before 1942. For more detailed description of the dataset, the reader should refer to Rayner et al. (2006). In this study we utilized SST data from 5 to 15°E and 55 to 60°N covering the region where the fish data were collected. Regional SST (Fig. 3b) is henceforth referred to as  $SST_{REG}$ .

The NAO index can be defined as either the pressure difference between two stations (e.g. at the Azores and Iceland), or the leading mode of sea level pressure (SLP) over the North Atlantic (Hurrell et al., 2003). The nodes of the NAO shifts spatially over time (Allan and Ansell, 2006), so using empirical orthogonal functions (EOF) to define the NAO may be more appropriate (Hurrell et al., 2003). We used a NAO index defined as the principal component (PC) time series of the leading EOF of the mean sea level pressure (MSLP) in the Atlantic Sector (20°N–70°N and 90°W–40°E) calculated over the period 1880–2007. The MSLP data are taken from the EMSLP data set (Ansell et al., 2006) and extended with data from the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) up to 2007 (Kanamitsu et al., 2002) (Fig. 3c).

To interpret climate-related processes in regional ecosystems, circulation indices calculated over smaller regions may be more appropriate than using large-scale ones (Blenckner and Chen, 2003). Here we used a set of regional circulation indices for Scandinavia based on a 5° latitude  $\times$  10° longitude grid-point dataset for the Northern Hemisphere developed by Chen (2000). This set contains a range of variables, like geostrophic vorticity and wind speed, related to the atmospheric circulation, but we only used the westerly (zonal) component of the wind as a regional (as opposed to the entire North Atlantic region) version of the NAO and refer to it as NAO<sub>REG</sub>. Although very similar to the NAO, the regional circulation index has been shown to be more strongly associated with regional climate than its large-scale counterpart (e.g. Blenckner and Chen, 2003). The indices we used were calculated for the area 10°-20°E and 55°-65°N. From 1904 to 1995 the indices were based on MSLP data from the ADVICE project (Jones et al., 1999), and after 1995 on NCEP data (Kanamitsu et al., 2002) (Fig. 3d).

# 2.4. Climate-fish analyses

Unfortunately, there are some gaps in the fish data, associated e.g. with the two World Wars. Thus, the fish data series contain 21 (22 for haddock) years of missing data: 1918–1920; 1922; 1926–1927; 1931; 1934; 1940–1944; 1948–1949; 1953–1954; 1958–1959; 1963; 1964 (only haddock) and 1971. However, to have continuous time series to facilitate the analyses, missing data in the time-series were linearly interpolated, irrespective of how many years of missing values were present. This shortcoming should be kept in mind when interpreting the results. We made statistical analyses over the full period, but also on two contrasting periods, in terms of assumed fishing pressure;; one 36-year period in the beginning of the record, and one of similar length at the end. Finally, we analyzed the fish–climate relationships in one positive (1935–1960) and one negative (1965–1990) AMO phase.

To assess the association between climate and recruitment during the twentieth century, simple correlation analyses (Pearson's, two-tailed) between  $R_s$  and the selected climate indices were used. As we

were interested in the fish-climate association on both short and long time scales, the correlation analyses were performed both on highand low-pass filtered climate and R<sub>s</sub> time series. We used a 5-term Gaussian-type filter (González and Woods, 2002), to extract the longterm variations from the time series since power spectrum analysis showed periodicity of  $\leq$ 5 years, which was common to all fish data series analyzed here (not shown). The low-pass filtered data was then used to represent the longer timescales. To get the high-frequency variability, the low-frequency signal described above was subtracted from the raw R<sub>S</sub> time series. Annual values of R<sub>S</sub> were compared to seasonal averages of the selected climate variables: December-February (DJF); March-May (MAM); June-August (JJA) and September-November (SON). Since one year is needed for haddock and cod to reach the size at estimated recruitment, and one to two years for plaice, the correlations were made with lagged climate data: t-1 for haddock and cod and t-1 and t-2 for plaice. The significance of the correlations was estimated using two sided t-tests, and the minimum level for significance was set at p < 0.05 for all statistical tests performed in this analysis. The large autocorrelation inherent in smoothed time series needs to be taken into account when estimating the significance of correlations, since there is otherwise an increased chance of getting spurious significant correlations. However, since we at this stage were interested in the low-frequency variability, rather than removing the autocorrelation by e.g. first difference or pre whitening, which may decrease the probability to find important relationships between long-term climate variables and fish population dynamics (Pyper and Peterman, 1998), a Monte Carlo technique (Livezey and Chen, 1983) was used to determine if the correlations were significant. In order to do so, two random time series, with 600,000 samples each, were generated, and then the specified length (e.g. 101 which indicates the 101 year case, 1904-2004) time series was subsequently picked out and low-pass filtered to get the correlation coefficient between the two. This was been done for 5000 times and repeated with 100 random time series. The 95th (99th) percentile of the resulting correlation coefficients was then selected to represent significant values at the 0.05 (0.01) level.

# 3. Results

## 3.1. Climate–fish R<sub>s</sub> relationships 1904–2004

First, we investigated the fish  $R_s$ -climate associations for the whole analyzed period (1904–2004). The results from the correlation analysis are given in Table 1. A general observation is that the low-pass filtered fish time series show a stronger relationship with the selected climate variables compared to the high-pass filtered ones. Moreover, the correlation analysis suggests that  $R_s$  of all three species is more strongly related to SST than to the NAO.

Looking at the last century, the low-pass filtered data for plaice  $R_S$  is significantly positively correlated with SST<sub>AMO</sub> in all seasons, with the highest significance (p < 0.01) found in MAM through SON (t + 1) and JJA–SON (t + 2). Significant positive correlations with SST<sub>REG</sub> were found in MAM and JJA (p = 0.01), but they were weaker than those for the regional SST indices. The few significant correlations with NAO did not show the same consistency between t-1 and t-2 as for SST. However, significant correlations with NAO<sub>REG</sub> occurred in DJF (positive) and SON (negative, p = 0.01) in both years. Weak, but significant, correlations when the high-pass filtered data was analyzed were found only with SST<sub>REG</sub> in SON, being negative in t-1 and positive in t-2.

The only significant correlations between haddock  $R_S$  and climate were found in JJA, with  $SST_{AMO}$  for low-pass data and  $NAO_{REG}$  for high-pass data. The correlation patterns for cod  $R_S$  were similar to those of plaice, but showed a slightly stronger association to regional SST. Significant correlations with  $SST_{AMO}$  were found in all seasons except JJA, being highly significant (p = 0.01) in DJF and MAM, while the only season without a significant correlation with  $SST_{REG}$  was DJF. The most significant correlations (p = 0.01) with  $SST_{REG}$  were found in JJA and SON. Significant correlations with  $NAO_{REG}$  were found in spring and autumn, being positive in MAM and negative in SON. No significant correlation was found when the high-pass filtered data was analyzed.

# 3.2. Climate and fish R<sub>s</sub> 1904–1939 vs. 1968–2003

To assess the influence on the climate-R<sub>S</sub> relationship of an increased fishing pressure (Sparholt et al., 2007) and global warming (IPCC, 2007) in the latter part of the twentieth century, we also applied correlation analyses to two separate 36-year periods, one in the earliest part of the twentieth century (1904–1939; lower fishing pressure, less warming) and one in the latter part of the records (1968–2003; higher fishing pressure, more warming). By doing so, we could have a rough estimate of potential changes in the sensitivity of the studied fish stocks to climate from the combined effects of increasing fishing pressure and climate change, and here we used the definition of sensitivity from Perry et al. (2010), where it is a measure of the strength of the relation between the fish stocks and climate variables. From Table 2, it is quite clear that changes

#### Table 1

Correlations (Pearson's, two tailed) between fish recruitment success ( $R_s$ ), and selected climate variables, 1904 to 2004. Correlations were calculated for years t-1 and t-2 (plaice only). PLA is plaice, HAD is haddock and COD is cod. (low) = low-pass filtered data, containing low-frequency information, and (high) = high-pass filtered time series (see main text). Bold numbers indicate significant values, where \* denotes significance at the 0.05 level, and \*\* at the 0.01 level. (For significance of smoothed data, see main text). The correlations with SST variables are shaded for easier comparison. See text for the explanation of the climate variables.

			PLA (low)	PLA (high)	HAD (low)	HAD (high)	COD (low)	COD (high)
	SST <sub>AMO</sub>	DJF	.364*	.067	.158	.025	.398**	041
		MAM	.396**	.021	.254	.040	.405**	.023
		JJA	.454**	053	.295*	009	.269	001
		SON	.529**	020	.267	.104	.346*	.028
	SST <sub>REG</sub>	DJF	027	041	.172	159	.033	031
		MAM	.322*	.141	.287	110	.327*	.086
		JJA	.376**	.058	.240	026	.486**	.178
-1		SON	.195	200*	.114	.131	.416**	129
t-	NAO	DJF	.268	.004	.229	050	.041	112
		MAM	.147	144	010	027	041	094
		JJA	.361*	.073	029	090	.028	.109
		SON	.140	015	.166	.030	.008	150
	NAO <sub>REG</sub>	DJF	.297*	.045	.204	114	.139	013
		MAM	.190	.047	.081	.188	.343*	.031
		JJA	.226	064	034	.228*	108	133
		SON	495**	155	050	.074	356*	114
	SST <sub>AMO</sub>	DJF	.294*	.107				
		MAM	.328*	.042				
		JJA	.421**	.063				
		SON	.479**	.070				
	SST <sub>REG</sub>	DJF	.002	011				
		MAM	.341*	140				
		JJA	.312*	.037				
t-2		SON	.191	.238*				
	NAO	DJF	.310*	.091				
		MAM	.113	032				
		JJA	.286	.008				
		SON	.175	.154				
	NAO <sub>REG</sub>	DJF	.293*	.046				
		MAM	.199	.115				
		JJA	.234	057				
		SON	439**	.019				

in the associations between  $R_S$  and climate occurred for all three species from the early to the late period. For plaice  $R_S$ , no significant correlations are found for the low-pass data in the early period, but when the highpass data are concerned, significant correlations are found with SST<sub>AMO</sub> in SON (t-1, negative), SST<sub>REG</sub> in DJF (t-1, positive) and NAO<sub>REG</sub> in MAM (t-2, positive, p = 0.01). In the latter period, there is a distinct change in the associations between the low-pass filtered data and climate, where significant and highly significant positive correlations are found with both SST<sub>AMO</sub> and SST<sub>REG</sub> in all seasons except DJF (SST<sub>REG</sub>). Significant correlations are found with NAO in JJA (positive) and NAO<sub>REG</sub> in MAM (t + 1, positive) and SON (negative, p = 0.01). Turning to the high-pass filtered data, significant correlations are found with SST<sub>REG</sub> in SON (t + 2, positive, p = 0.01), NAO in JJA (t + 2, negative) and NAO<sub>REG</sub> in Son (t + 1, negative, p = 0.01).

When low-pass filtered haddock data is concerned, there is seemingly a change in the association with SST from strong to weak between the two periods. In the early periods, significant positive correlations are found with SST<sub>AMO</sub> in all seasons, while in the later period the only significant correlation is found in JJA. When the high-pass filtered haddock R<sub>s</sub> data is concerned, more significant associations with the NAO than SST are found in the early period, where significant correlations are found with SST<sub>AMO</sub> in MAM (positive), NAO in DJF (negative) and NAO<sub>REG</sub> in DJF (negative, p = 0.01) and MAM (positive, p = 0.01). In the later period, only SST<sub>AMO</sub> in JJA is significantly correlated with low-pass filtered haddock R<sub>s</sub>, while an increased association with the NAO is observed; significant positive correlations with NAO in DJF and JJA, and with NAO<sub>REG</sub> in DJF and MAM. No significant correlations were found when the high-pass filtered haddock R<sub>s</sub> was analyzed.

Also cod  $R_S$  shows distinct changes in climate associations between the periods. In the early period the only significant correlation was found with NAO<sub>REG</sub> in SON (negative) for high-pass filtered data. However, while the high-pass correlation remains in the later period, there is a distinct change in the correlations for the low-pass filtered data, where the mainly negative (but not significant) correlations with SST in the early period have turned positive and significant; both sets of SST indices in all seasons except DJF (SST<sub>REG</sub>) display high correlations (p = 0.01) with cod  $R_S$ . Moreover, significant correlations with NAO<sub>REG</sub> are seen in MAM (positive) and SON (negative, p = 0.01).

# 3.3. Fish recruitment and AMO variability

To investigate the possible influence of the phase of the AMO on R<sub>s</sub>, we applied the same correlation analyses as above, to one warm (1935–1960) and one cold (1965–1990) phase. From Table 3, no distinct patterns can be readily seen. Regarding low-pass plaice  $R_s$  a change from negative correlations with SST<sub>RFC</sub> in all seasons, being significant in DJF in the warm phase turns positive (but not significant) in the cold phase. The only significant association between low-pass plaice R<sub>S</sub>, and SST<sub>AMO</sub> is found in SON (t-2) in the cold phase. Also in the cold phase there are significant positive correlations with NAO<sub>REG</sub> in MAM, suggesting a stronger influence of spring atmospheric circulation during this phase. The only significant correlation in the high-pass analysis is found with  $NAO_{REG}$  in DJF (t-2) in the warm phase. For low-pass haddock R<sub>s</sub>, correlations with SST<sub>AMO</sub> turns from negative (not significant) in the warm phase to positive in the cold phase, being significant in JJA. However, correlations with SST<sub>REG</sub> go from higher (significant in DJF) in the warm phase to lower or even negative (not significant) in the cold phase. The association with both NAO indices is mainly weak and negative in the warm phase, but it turns positive in most seasons in the cold phase, with the significant (p = 0.01) negative correlation with NAO<sub>REG</sub> in MAM being one exception. The high-pass analysis shows similar patterns, although a highly significant (p = 0.01) correlation with NAO<sub>REG</sub> is found in JJA during the warm phase. During the cold phase significant correlations are found with SSTAMO in JJA (positive) and NAO in MAM (negative, p = 0.01). Low-pass cod R<sub>s</sub> shows similar tendencies as plaice, with mainly negative (not significant) SST correlations in the

warm phase, which turns positive in the cold phase (significant only in SON for SST<sub>REG</sub>). The most obvious change when it comes to the atmospheric circulation, is the change from a positive and significant correlation with NAO<sub>REG</sub> in JJA (p = 0.01) during the warm phase, which turns negative (but not significant) in the cold phase. No significant correlations were found for the high-pass filtered data.

# 4. Discussion

# 4.1. The importance of long data series

Having a century-long fish data series provides an opportunity to better understand the role of natural climate variability on fish dynamics, as these relationships can be studied prior to recent global warming and intensified fishing, but also to assess the importance of climate on fish variability at different time scales. This is especially important when assessing the synergistic impact of climate change and fishery activities on fish stocks (e.g. Brander, 2010), as it increases the number of replicates in terms of occurrence of cold and warm periods. This knowledge is highly useful when implementing long-term strategies for sustainable management of exploited fish stocks. Previously, a number of studies on climate/fish relationships have been restricted to the latter half of the twentieth century due to shortness of available fish time series (Klyashtorin, 1998; Poulard and Blanchard, 2005; Stige et al., 2006; Wieland, 2005). Thus, suggested associations between climate and fish may be simply an artifact of strong trends in the climate, such as the positive phase of the NAO in the late-twentieth century, and coincident reduction in fish stocks caused by intensive fishing, rather than being a

#### Table 2

Same as for Table 2, but for two different periods Correlation analyses were performed over two periods: 1904–1939, and 1968–2003.

					1904-	-1939			1968–2003					
			PLA (low)	PLA (high)	HAD (low)	HAD (high)	COD (low)	COD (high)	PLA (low)	PLA (high)	HAD (low)	HAD (high)	COD (low)	COD (high)
		DJF	.190	.039	.491*	.251	303	032	.815**	310	.353	043	.788**	219
	AMO	MAM	.217	014	.523*	.331*	306	.024	.765**	284	.459	125	.714**	150
	SST	JJA	.122	191	.475*	157	335	179	.764**	319	.544*	.036	.652**	140
		SON	.275	376*	.501*	.049	290	279	.875**	143	.441	.085	.787**	008
		DJF	.066	.340*	.061	162	181	.314	.230	080	005	209	.264	.080
	REG	MAM	002	.207	.150	010	.096	.214	.661**	.256	.321	154	.610**	.208
	SST	JJA	166	038	.203	113	082	.122	.827**	098	.284	.049	.814**	.083
-1		SON	102	071	.153	060	266	195	.651**	186	082	.143	.654**	123
t.		DJF	.132	020	382	394*	075	203	.284	.088	.541*	.291	.184	.058
	40	MAM	.234	151	.343	142	087	206	.072	172	252	004	.124	009
	N/	JJA	.119	003	356	.015	192	.134	.558*	.225	.488*	020	.439	.267
		SON	.200	097	.509*	.216	146	281	.161	.178	.116	111	015	149
	NAO <sub>REG</sub>	DJF	.284	.012	231	430**	.028	131	.350	.079	.533*	.138	.329	.088
		MAM	002	109	102	.635**	.303	013	.520*	.228	.481*	.175	.548*	.095
		JJA	.243	.021	.156	.295	255	153	.289	139	.213	.221	.235	147
		SON	.135	322	.334	.123	.004	421**	697**	493**	353	088	694**	366*
		DJF	.131	007					.789**	.212				
	AMO	MAM	.165	.035					.760**	026				
	SST	JJA	.126	122					.767**	.018				
t-2		SON	.308	.091					.876**	104				
		DJF	088	060					.316	.087				
	SST <sub>REC</sub>	MAM	106	001					.665**	005				
		JJA	216	056					.788**	.069				
		SON	135	.054					.564*	.471**				
		DJF	.028	021					.390	.311				
	40	MAM	.228	.014					.063	068				
	ź	JJA	029	058					.491*	403*				
		SON	.217	.173					.219	.141				
		DJF	.014	103					.441	.132				
	D <sub>REG</sub>	MAM	.084	.510**					.462	.145				
	NAC	JJA	.357	.047					.341	.304				
		SON	.278	.132					675**	.300				

stable relationship between climate and fish productivity per se. Also, such short time series makes it difficult to assess the influence of climate variability on multidecadal time scales, e.g. from the AMO. In this context, century-long records provide opportunities to better understand the role of natural climate variability on fish dynamics, and these relationships can be studied prior to recent global warming and intensified fishing. It should, however, be noted that while using recruitment success ( $R_S$ ) allowed us to account for a large part of the fishing effect, the effects from selective fishing in terms of size will still remain, which may be of importance for the fish response to climate. It is important to note that the average size of the analyzed species has significantly declined over time (Cardinale et al., 2010, 2012). This does not affect the

Table 3

Same as Tables 1 and 2, but for two distinct phases of the AMO, one warm (1935–1960) and one cold (1965–1990).

Image: constraint of the constra				Warm					Cold						
Image: Property of the section of the sectin of the section of the section of th				PLA (low)	PLA (high)	HAD (low)	HAD (high)	COD (low)	COD (high)	PLA (low)	PLA (high)	HAD (low)	HAD (high)	COD (low)	COD (high)
Mage         Mage         Cond         Cond <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>DJF</td><td>.313</td><td>.358</td><td>403</td><td>067</td><td>.096</td><td>.057</td><td>.351</td><td>005</td><td>.006</td><td>.056</td><td>.440</td><td>033</td></th<>			DJF	.313	.358	403	067	.096	.057	.351	005	.006	.056	.440	033
$ \left[ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $		MO	MAM	.294	.154	273	030	048	.026	.373	046	.200	014	.314	.038
1         100         447         -129         -0.03         -143         -163         403         227         412         280         434         283           1 $\frac{1}{10}$ -0.23         1.11         586         0.91         -171         1.10         209         -388         1.04         -306         297         -298           1 $\frac{1}{10}$ -0.73         1.01         0.02         -0.03         1.01         0.00         -0.03         1.01         0.00         -0.03         1.01         0.00         -0.03         1.01         0.00         -0.03         1.01         0.00         -0.03         1.01         0.00         -0.03         1.01         0.00         -0.03         1.01         0.00         -0.03         1.01         -0.00		SST,	JJA	.281	060	374	259	491	052	.435	022	.646*	.080	.117	.060
Image         Image			SON	.447	129	521	023	143	163	.493	.227	.412	.269	.343	.283
Math         -287         Math         -287         Math         -280         -163         224         -030         .133         -174         .169         -043           Math         -073         .161         034         -358         .297         .343         .449         .206         -027         .100         .499         .279           Son         -318         .408         .215         -107         -182         .416         .085        107         .101 <td></td> <td></td> <td>DJF</td> <td>552</td> <td>.121</td> <td>.585*</td> <td>.091</td> <td>471</td> <td>.130</td> <td>.269</td> <td>388</td> <td>.104</td> <td>366</td> <td>.297</td> <td>298</td>			DJF	552	.121	.585*	.091	471	.130	.269	388	.104	366	.297	298
Term 1 = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +		EG	MAM	287	.313	.220	165	298	.153	.224	036	.133	174	.169	054
1         100        319        338         .408         .215        107        182         .416        085        150         .067         .080*        130           4 $\frac{1}{10}$ .254         .071        430         .243         .085         .186         .184        142         .540         .202         .130        160        170        170        170        170        170        170        170        170        170        170        170        170        170        170         <		SST <sub>R</sub>	JJA	073	.161	.034	350	297	.343	.449	.206	027	.130	.499	.279
T = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1	-		SON	319	358	.408	.215	107	182	.416	085	150	.067	.603*	130
$ \left  \begin{array}{ c c c c } & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	÷		DJF	.254	.071	430	243	.085	186	.184	142	.540	.202	139	140
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c } & 1 \\ \hline \\ \\ & 1 \\ \hline \\ \\ & 1 \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline $			MAM	.172	211	105	.015	172	088	421	143	702**	141	054	022
$ \left[ \begin{array}{c c c c c c } & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		NAO	JJA	.230	.187	217	196	.650*	.252	070	.159	.221	094	201	.208
$ \left[ 1 \\ V \\$			SON	.037	069	108	.297	211	165	068	041	.312	037	373	190
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			DJF	.164	.057	247	220	.138	085	.199	144	.325	.062	.035	096
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 $		NAO <sub>REG</sub>	MAM	.082	.083	311	.208	.392	.047	.631*	.064	.294	.179	.530	017
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline \\ \hline $			JJA	.339	118	484	.513**	060	262	189	210	177	.170	063	260
F =			SON	511	246	.340	.189	300	226	095	135	.271	047	295	093
F =			DJF	023	.066					.356	.134				
T =			MAM	079	.155					.432	.005				
$     \begin{array}{c cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		SST <sub>AMO</sub>	JJA	.284	.235					.538	.031				
$ \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{1} \frac$			SON	.436	.266					.607*	.043				
$ \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{1} \frac$			DJF	471	.290					.050	.104				
$ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{161} - \frac{1}{161}$		U	MAM	178	.016					.068	080				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	t-2	SST <sub>RE</sub>	JJA	161	161					.239	005				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			SON	045	.384					.334	.227				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			DIF	.153	.337					.097	.175				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		NAO	MAM	.073	027					474	062				
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $			IIA	.240	030					.028	097				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			SON	.115	.292					.041	.176				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			DIF	.078	.421*					095	029				
Og         .212         .069           JJA        321        052		REG	MAM	.099	.153					.657*	.134				
		NAO	JJA	.212	.069					217	.010				
SON SON SOL			SON	321	052					015	040				

classification of R or SSB as they are the same over the time series (i.e. they are based on the same size limit over the time series), but it might have affected the estimate of the spawning potential and reproductive output over time as smaller fish may produce few and/or less viable eggs (i.e. Kjesbu et al., 1996; Marshall et al., 1998, but see also Morgan et al., 2007). Currently, no information is available to quantitatively include this in our models. Here instead we have chosen the approach used by ICES for estimating SSB, which does not explicitly account for the size structure in the population more than on the fact that large fish are heavier and contribute more to the SSB. Although R<sub>S</sub> is used with the intent to standardize R in relation to SSB, it has to be noted that some level of dependency between these two quantities still exists. As it is formulated, R<sub>S</sub> decreases as stock size increases, which may account for density-dependent effects on recruitment at large stock size, including the potential occurrence of cannibalism. However, R<sub>S</sub> does not account for deviations at low stock size which could be related to the Allee effect or overcompensation. Moreover, the whole framework presented for detecting signals of climate effect on the recruitment of several fish species is based on a single-species approach which means that climate variability is assumed to be the main driver of fish recruit survival. In this case, ecosystem effects on R<sub>S</sub> such as species interactions are taken into account only if linked to the direct impact of climate.

Another caveat is of course the lack of  $R_S$  data in some years, especially in the early parts of the records, which may hamper the correlation results. However, a comparison of correlation values between the interpolated and raw  $R_S$  data with gaps showed generally small differences, especially when the analyses cover the whole century (not shown). Still, some care should be taken when interpreting the results of the early periods.

#### 4.2. Climate and recruitment success in a century perspective

Over the full period (1904-2004), the results showed that the strongest Rs-climate association (in terms of the number of significantly correlated months) was found using the low-pass filtered data rather than the high-pass filtered data. This suggests that the relationship between R<sub>s</sub> and climate is more discernible over periods of several years. On the inter-annual timescale, other processes, such as internal population dynamics or interactions with other species (Lehodey et al., 2006), may be more relevant. Of the three studied species, the recruitment success of both plaice and cod show some similarities in their associations to climate, showing strong links with SST. From the analyses, it may be tentatively inferred that plaice have a stronger relation to large-scale SST compared to cod, which showed higher correlations to regional SST. Moreover, the results for plaice and cod suggest that the influence of SST, on both large and regional scales, is more coherent than that of the NAO. However, it should be noted that SST changes are gradual over the year, resulting in stronger correlations among seasons, especially when the low-pass filtered data is concerned, while the atmospheric variability, as described by the NAO index, is more dynamic, resulting in far less coherency among the seasons.

Comparing the early and late periods, a shift in the correlation patterns is evident. In the early part of the twentieth century (1904–1939), the most striking feature is the general lack of significant correlations between  $R_s$  and SST for plaice and cod, independent of filtering. The low-pass filtered haddock data, on the other hand, displays strong and significant correlation with the large-scale SST throughout the year during this period, while the influence of the regional SST is much weaker (see discussion of haddock below). In the latter period (1968–2003), there is a clear change towards positive (and significant) association between  $R_s$  and SST for cod and plaice when it comes to the low-pass filtered data. This is especially true for SST<sub>REG</sub> where the associations in the early part were weakly negative (although not significant), but turning highly positive in the latter part. For haddock on the other hand, there is a decrease in the SST association. Thus, our result show responses in the long term dynamics of

the recruitment success of the three species, although haddock differs from cod and plaice, to increased fishing pressure and the observed warming trend during the last two decades of the century in the Skagerrak-Kattegat and in the whole North Atlantic. It has been argued that a heavy exploitation may increase the sensitivity of fish populations to climate, i.e. that they are more strongly associated with climate (Perry et al., 2010), e.g. through depletion of large fish (Hsieh et al., 2006; Planque et al., 2010), and by altering key processes of fish life cycles (Rijnsdorp et al., 2009). Thus, the increase in fishing pressure in Skagerrak-Kattegat (Cardinale et al., 2010, 2012) that determined the disappearance of large fish and thus the truncation of the size structure (Cardinale et al., 2009, 2012) over the last century, particularly in the latter half, is likely the reason for an increased sensitivity of recruitment success to temperature. The positive trend in plaice and cod R<sub>S</sub> suggests a beneficial effect of increased SST, which for cod is in disagreement with the general conclusions of the effect of temperature on recruitment in the area (e.g. Olsen et al., 2012 and references therein), but the leveling out of the trend in cod R<sub>s</sub> around 2000 may indicate that there is a threshold for the positive association with temperatures also for this particular stock. Thus, persisting warm temperatures in Skagerrak-Kattegat may ultimately have negative effects on the recruitment of cod, which is partly in agreement with the conclusion by Rogers et al. (2011), who studied cod size and climate along the Norwegian Skagerrak coast. They concluded that rising temperatures associated with global warming could cause coastal Skagerrak to become illsuited for Atlantic cod.

# 4.3. The effect of the AMO on recruitment success

Since the role of long-term SST variability in the North Atlantic on influencing regional to global climates was highlighted some years ago (Knight et al., 2006; Sutton and Hodson, 2005), several studies have linked marine-ecosystem variability to the AMO (e.g. Condron et al., 2005; Edwards et al., 2013; Gröger and Fogarty, 2011; Gröger et al., 2010; Kane, 2011; Nye et al., 2013). For instance, it has been shown that the abundance of North American Atlantic salmon (Condron et al., 2005), Norwegian herring stock biomass (Edwards et al., 2013) and Arcto-Norwegian cod spawning intensity (Nye et al., 2013) covaried with the phase of the AMO during the twentieth century. However, we did not find any clear relationships from the correlation analyses of the studied Skagerrak-Kattegat species. While plaice showed positive correlations to SST<sub>AMO</sub> but negative correlations to SST<sub>REG</sub> in the cold phase, the pattern was opposite for haddock. It should be noted though that since this period (1935–60) contains a number of missing data in the R<sub>s</sub> series, the correlations may be biased both in strength and signs. In the cold phase there seems to be more consistency among the species, where most SST correlations are positive, although only a few were significant.

A comparison of the R<sub>S</sub> of cod, plaice and haddock in Skagerrak-Kattegat and the AMO is shown in Fig. 4. From this figure it is evident that there is no clear relationship between R<sub>S</sub> of the studied species and the AMO. All three species show more or less opposite trends to the AMO in its cold phase in the beginning of the century (ca. 1900–1930), most pronounced in cod. During the subsequent warm phase (ca. 1930–1960), there are some increases in R<sub>S</sub> for all species, but the most noteworthy observation is that this period is categorized by a very high R<sub>S</sub> variability in all species. Since this period coincides with a period of many missing years in the data, it may possibly be an effect of sampling or data treatment. However, the fact that also the Norwegian herring stock biomass seemed to undergo large fluctuations during this period (see Fig. 3 in Edwards et al., 2013) suggests that at least some of this variability is real and not an artifact. Since the interannual R<sub>S</sub> variability was much lower during the cold AMO periods (which corresponds to Norwegian herring biomass), possibly the AMO plays some role in the sensitivity of fish populations to climate by affecting their variance. Provided that the species are situated close to their limit of distribution, it is likely that



**Fig. 4.** Comparison between the AMO (red lines) and low-pass filtered (to highlight the decadal variability) recruitment success ( $R_s$ ) of plaice, haddock and cod (black lines). The AMO is based on the Kaplan SST dataset (Kaplan et al., 1998), where the weighted average over the North Atlantic (0–70°N) was computed, detrended and smoothed with a 121 month smoother. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

they get more sensitive during warm periods so that the  $R_S$  variability is an effect of habitat shifts (e.g. Nye et al., 2009). In the subsequent cool AMO phase, the variability becomes low again and the  $R_S$  trend of the three species quite closely follows that of the AMO, which, according to the reasoning above, could be an effect of the increased sensitivity to SST in the latter half of the century. The sudden drop in the association between haddock  $R_S$  and AMO in the 1990s is likely due to the collapse of that stock (Cardinale et al., 2012).

Another possible explanation for the high  $R_s$  variability between ca. 1930 and 1960 could be that it is a function of increased fishing pressure. It has been argued that exploited populations exhibit greater variability (Perry et al., 2010), so the large observed  $R_s$  fluctuations in Skagerrak–Kattegat could indicate an increasing fishing pressure in the mid-twentieth century. However, then it is difficult to explain the return to the much lower  $R_s$  variability in the subsequent decades. Maybe it is a sign of continuously increased fishing pressure, combined with climate warming, causing the  $R_s$  to be more sensitive to climate but exhibit less variations? More research is needed to better understand this.

# 4.4. Haddock – insensitive to climate?

From our analyses, haddock seems to be less sensitive to climate on long timescales than the other two species, especially in the latter part of the analyzed century. Because most fish stocks are expected to be more sensitive to climate variability when the fishing pressure is high, the strong associations between haddock R<sub>S</sub> and SST<sub>AMO</sub> in the early part of the twentieth century may be related to the fact that the Skagerrak-Kattegat haddock stocks were already heavily exploited at this time. Indeed, Cardinale et al. (2012) argue that the fishing pressure on haddock in Skagerrak-Kattegat started earlier than for cod and plaice, where very high landings of haddock occurred in the first three decades of the twentieth century (Fig. 3a in Cardinale et al. (2012)). Thus, the low response to climate in the latter half of the analyzed period can be related to the collapse of the haddock stock in this region since the early 1940s (Cardinale et al., 2012). Since haddock fluctuated around very low biomass levels during most of the second half of the century, this may have increased the relevance of stochastic processes in the haddock dynamics and affected the likelihood of local unfavorable environmental conditions and recruitment failure, which may explain the low response of haddock to regional and large scale climate variability. Consequently, our results indicate different thresholds in fish recruitment responses to climate, on timescales above 5 years, where a healthy stock is less dependent on climate, whereas heavy exploitation increases the influence of climate on recruitment.

# 5. Conclusion

Our study of the association between climate and recruitment success  $(R_S)$  of three commercial species in Skagerrak–Kattegat over the last century revealed that:

- The commercial stocks of the studied species show much stronger associations with climate on long (>5 year) timescales than on shorter ones, suggesting that other factors than those investigated are more important for the year-to-year variations.
- Plaice and cod R<sub>S</sub> have strong and positive association with large-scale and local SST over the whole of the last century. However, comparing the first and last parts of the century, significant correlations were only found in the latter part. Correlations with the atmospheric circulation are stronger for the regional than the Atlantic wide indices.
- Haddock R<sub>s</sub> show opposite patterns, with significant positive correlations to large-scale SST only in the early twentieth century. The lack of SST/NAO sensitivity in the latter part is likely due to the collapse of the stock in the early 1940s and an increased importance of other processes/factors that were not considered in this study.
- In contrast to recent literature, cod recruitment success in this stock seems to be positively associated with higher temperature in the latter part of the twentieth century.
- The shift from low to high climate sensitivity in the stocks seen from the early to the late part of last century may be associated with the increased fishing pressure/climate change and related changes in fish size structure.
- There is no clear-cut relationship between R<sub>S</sub> and the AMO for any of the studied species except for the last ~40 years. However, the relatively large fluctuations in R<sub>S</sub> during the positive AMO phase suggest a changed behavior of the stocks during warmer SST conditions. On the other hand, these may be forced by progressively increasing fishing pressure and climate change.

In summary, the results highlight the need to take long-term climate forcing on fish stocks into account when implementing sustainable management strategies.

# Acknowledgments

This work has been partly funded by the project "Integrating historical data on fish abundance, stock structure, bottom complexity and climate to improve assessment, management and spatial planning of marine resources in the Kattegat–Skagerrak", funded by the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (grant to M. Cardinale) and the Swedish Research Council (VR, grant to H. Linderholm). This paper contributes to the Swedish strategic research area Biodiversity and Ecosystem services in a Changing Climate (BECC). The NCEP DOE Reanalysis 2 data was provided by the NOAA-CIRES ESRL/PSD Climate Diagnostics branch, Boulder, Colorado, from their Web site at http://www.cdc. noaa.gov/. Kaplan SST V2 data was provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, Colorado, USA, from their Web site at http://www.esrl. noaa.gov/psd/.

#### References

- Alheit, J., Hagen, E., 1997. Long-term climate forcing of European herring and sardine populations. Fish. Oceanogr. 6, 130–139.
- Allan, R.J., Ansell, T.J., 2006. A new globally complete monthly historical gridded mean sea level pressure data set (HadSLP2): 1850–2003. J. Clim. 19, 5816–5842.
- Ansell et al., 2006. Daily mean sea level pressure reconstructions for the European–North Atlantic region for the period 1850–2003. J. Clim. 19, 2717–2742.
- Bartolino, V., Cardinale, M., Svedäng, H., Linderholm, H.W., Grimwall, A., 2012. Historical spatiotemporal dynamics of eastern North Sea cod. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 69, 833–841.
- Behrenfeld, M.J., O'Malley, R.T., Siegel, D.A., McClain, C.R., Sarmiento, J.L., Feldman, G.C., Milligan, A.J., Falkowski, P.G., Letelier, R.M., Boss, E.S., 2006. Climate-driven trends in contemporary ocean productivity. Nature 444, 752–755.
- Blenckner, T., Chen, D., 2003. Comparison of the impact of regional and North Atlantic atmospheric circulation on an aquatic ecosystem. Clim. Res. 23, 131–136.
- Brander, K., 1995. The effect of temperature on growth of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua L). ICES J. Mar. Sci. 52, 1–10.
- Brander, K., 2010. Impacts of climate change on fisheries. J. Mar. Syst. 79, 389–402.
- Brunel, T., Boucher, J., 2006. Pattern of recruitment variability in the geographical range of
- the exploited northeast Atlantic fish species. J. Sea Res. 55, 156–168. Brunel, T., Boucher, J., 2007. Long-term trends in fish recruitment in the north-east Atlantic related to climate change. Fish. Oceanogr. 16, 336–349.
- Buch, E., Pedersen, S.A., Ribergaard, M.H., 2005. Ecosystem variability in West Greenland waters. J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. 34, 13–28.
- Cardinale, M., Hjelm, J., 2006. Marine fish recruitment variability and climate indices. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 309, 307–309.
- Cardinale, M., Hjelm, J., Casini, M., 2008. Disentangling the effect of adult biomass and temperature on the recruitment dynamic of fishes. Resiliency of Gadid Stocks to Fishing and Climate Change, Alaska Sea Grant College Program 221–237.
- Cardinale, M., Linder, L., Bartolino, V., Maiorano, L., Casini, M., 2009. Conservation value of historical data: reconstructing stock dynamics of turbot during the last century in the Kattegat–Skagerrak. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 386, 197–206.
- Cardinale, M., Hagberg, J., Svedäng, H., Bartolino, V., Gedamke, T., Hjelm, J., Börjesson, P., Norén, F., 2010. Fishing through time: population dynamics of plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) in the Kattegat–Skagerrak over a century. Popul. Ecol. 52, 251–262.
- Cardinale, M., Svedäng, H., Bartolino, V., Maiorano, L., Casini, M., Linderholm, H., 2012. Spatial and temporal depletion of haddock and pollack during the last century in the Kattegat–Skagerrak. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 28, 200–208.
- Chen, D., 2000. A monthly circulation climatology for Sweden and its application to a winter temperature case study. Int. J. Climatol. 20, 1067–1076.
- Chen, D., Hellström, C., 1999. The influence of the North Atlantic Oscillation on the regional temperature variability in Sweden: spatial and temporal variations. Tellus A 51, 505–516.
- Condron, A., DeConto, R., Bradley, R.S., Juanes, F., 2005. Multidecadal North Atlantic climate variability and its effect on North American salmon abundance. Geophys. Res. Lett. 32, L23703.
- Drinkwater, K.F., 2005. The response of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) to future climate change. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 62, 1327–1337.
- Edwards, M., Beaugrand, G., Helaouet, P., Alheit, J., Coombs, S., 2013. Marine ecosystem response to the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. PLoS ONE 8, e57212.
- Enfield, D.B., Mestas-Nunez, A.M., Trimble, P.J., 2001. The Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation and its relationship to rainfall and river flows in the continental U.S. Geophys. Res. Lett. 28, 2077–2080.
- Folland, C.K., Knight, J., Linderholm, H.W., Fereday, D., Ineson, S., Hurrell, J.W., 2009. The summer North Atlantic Oscillation: past, present and future. J. Clim. 22, 1082–1103.
- Genner, M.J., Halliday, N.C., Simpson, S.D., Southward, A.J., Hawkins, S.J., Sims, D.W., 2009. Temperature-driven phenological changes within a marine larval fish assemblage. J. Plankton Res. 32, 699–708.
- González, R.C., Woods, R.E., 2002. Digital Image Processing, 2nd ed. Prentice-Hall, New Jersey 793.
- Grebmeier, J.M., Overland, J.E., Moore, S.E., Farley, E.V., Carmack, E.C., Cooper, L.W., Frey, K.E., Helle, J.H., McLaughlin, F.A., McNutt, S.L., 2006. A major ecosystem shift in the northern Bering Sea. Science 311, 1461–1464.
- Gröger, J.P., Fogarty, M.J., 2011. Broad-scale climate influences on cod (*Gadus morhua*) recruitment on Georges Bank. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 68, 592–602.
- Gröger, J.P., Kruse, G.H., Rohlf, N., 2010. Slave to the rhythm: how large-scale climate cycles trigger herring (*Clupea harengus*) regeneration in the North Sea. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 67, 454–465.
- Gustafsson, B., 1999. High frequency variability of the surface layers in the Skagerrak during SKAGEX. Cont. Shelf Res. 19, 1021–1047.
- Gustafsson, B.G., Andersson, H.C., 2001. Modeling the exchange of the Baltic Sea from the meridional atmospheric pressure difference across the North Sea. J. Geophys. Res. 106, 19731–19744.

- Gustafsson, B., Stigebrandt, A., 1996. Dynamics of the freshwater-influenced surface layers in the Skagerrak. J. Sea Res. 35, 39–53.
- Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., 1990. Generalized Additive Models. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Hsieh, C.H., Reiss, C.S., Hunter, J.R., Beddington, J.R., May, R.M., Sugihara, G., 2006. Fishing elevates variability in the abundance of exploited species. Nature 443, 859–862.
- Hurrell, J.W., Kushnir, Y., Visbeck, M., Ottersen, G., 2003. An overview of the North Atlantic Oscillation. Geophys. Monogr. Ser. 134, 1–35.
- ICES, 2010. Resolving climate impacts on fish stocks. ICES Coop. Res. Rep. 301 (372 pp.). IPCC, 2007. Climate Change 2007: synthesis report. In: Core Writing Team, Pachauri, R.K., Reisinger, A. (Eds.), Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland (104 pp.).
- Jones, P.D., Davies, T.D., Lister, D.H., Slonosky, V., Jónsson, T., Bärring, L., Jönsson, P., Maheras, P., Kolyva-Machera, F., Barriendos, M., Martin-Vide, J., Rodriguez, R., Alcoforado, M.J., Wanner, H., Pfister, C., Luterbacher, J., Rickli, R., Schuepbach, E., Kaas, E., Schmith, T., Jacobeit, J., Beck, C., 1999. Monthly mean pressure reconstructions for Europe for the 1780–1995 period. Int. J. Climatol. 19, 347–364.
- Kanamitsu, M., Ebisuzaki, W., Woollen, J., Yang, S.K., Hnilo, J.J., Fiorino, M., Potter, G., 2002. NCEP-DOE AMIP-II reanalysis. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 83, 1631–1643.
- Kane, J., 2011. Inter-decadal variability of zooplankton abundance in the Middle Atlantic Bight. J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. 43, 81–92.
- Kaplan, A., Cane, M., Kushnir, Y., Clement, A., Blumenthal, M., Rajagopalan, B., 1998. Analyses of global sea surface temperature 1856–1991. J. Geophys. Res. 103, 18567–18589.
- Kjesbu, O.S., Solemdal, P., Bratlan, P., Fonn, M., 1996. Variation in annual egg production in individual captive Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 53, 610–620.
- Klyashtorin, LB., 1998. Long-term climate change and main commercial fish production in the Atlantic and Pacific. Fish. Res. 37, 115–125.
- Knight, J.R., Folland, C.K., Scaife, A.A., 2006. Climatic impacts of the Atlantic multidecadal oscillation. Geophys. Res. Lett. 33, L17706.
- Leggett, W.C., Frank, K.T., 1997. A comparative analysis of recruitment variability in North Atlantic flatfishes—testing the species range hypothesis. J. Sea Res. 37, 281–299.
- Lehodey, P., Alheit, J., Barange, M., Baumgartner, T., Beaugrand, G., Drinkwater, K., Fromentin, J.M., Hare, S.R., Ottersen, G., Perry, R.I., Claude, R., van der Lingen, C.D., Werner, F., 2006. Climate variability, fish, and fisheries. J. Clim. 19, 5009–5030.
- Livezey, R.E., Chen, W.Y., 1983. Statistical field significance and its determination by Monte Carlo techniques. Mon. Weather Rev. 111, 46–59.
- Mantua, N.J., Hare, S.R., Zhang, Y., Wallace, J.M., Francis, R.C., 1997. A Pacific interdecadal climate oscillation with impacts on salmon production. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc. 78, 1069–1079.
- Mantzouni, I., Sørensen, H., O'Hara, R.B., MacKenzie, B.R., 2010. Hierarchical modelling of temperature and habitat size effects on population dynamics of North Atlantic cod. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 67, 833–855.
- Marshall, T., Kjesbu, O.S., Yaragina, N.A., Solemdal, P., Ulltang, Ø., 1998. Is spawner biomass a sensitive measure of the reproductive and recruitment potential of Northeast Arctic cod? Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 55, 1766–1783.
- Maunder, M.N., Punt, A.E., 2004. Standardizing catch and effort data: a review of recent approaches. Fish. Res. 70, 141–159.
- Morgan, M.J., Shelton, P.A., Brattey, J., 2007. Age composition of the spawning stock does not always influence recruitment. J. Northwest Atl. Fish. Sci. 38, 1–12.
- Myers, R.A., Worm, B., 2003. Rapid worldwide depletion of predatory fish communities. Nature 423, 280–283.
- Nye, J.A., Link, J.S., Hare, J.A., Overholtz, W.L., 2009. Changing spatial distribution of fish stocks in relation to climate and population size on the Northeast United States continental shelf. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 393, 111–129.
- Nye, J.A., Bundy, A., Shackell, N., Friedland, K.D., Link, J.S., 2011. Coherent trends in contiguous survey time-series of major ecological and commercial fish species in the Gulf of Maine ecosystem. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 67, 26–40.
- Nye, J.A., Baker, M.R., Bell, R., Kenny, A., Kilbourne, K.H., Friedland, K.D., Martino, E., Stachura, M.M., Van Houtan, K.S., Wood, R., 2013. Ecosystem effects of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. J. Mar. Syst.. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.02.006 (online first).
- O'Brien, C.M., Fox, C.J., Planque, B., Casey, J., 2000. Climate variability and North Sea cod. Nature 404, 142.
- Olsen, E.M., Ottersen, G., Llope, M., Chan, K.-S., Beaugrand, G., Stenseth, N.C., 2012. Spawning stock and recruitment in North Sea cod shaped by food and climate. Proc. R. Soc. B 278, 504–510.
- Ottersen, G., Planque, B., Belgrano, A., Post, E., Reid, P.C., Stenseth, N.C., 2001. Ecological effects of the North Atlantic Oscillation. Oecologia 128, 1–14.
- Ottersen, G., Hjermann, D., Stenseth, N.C., 2006. Changes in spawning stock structure strengthen the link between climate and recruitment in a heavily fished cod stock. Fish. Oceanogr. 15, 230–232.
- Page, F.H., Frank, K.T., 1989. Spawning time and egg stage duration in northwest Atlantic haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) stocks with emphasis on Georges and Browns Bank. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 46, 68–81.
- Perry, R.I., Cury, P., Brander, K., Jennings, S., Möllmann, C., Planque, B., 2010. Sensitivity of marine systems to climate and fishing: concepts, issues and management responses. J. Mar. Syst. 97, 427–435.
- Philippart, CJ.M., Henderson, P.A., Johannessen, T., Rijnsdorp, A.D., Rogers, S.I., 1998. Latitudinal variation on fish recruits in Northwest Europe. J. Sea Res. 39, 69–77.
- Planque, B., Frédou, T., 1999. Temperature and the recruitment of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 56, 2069–2077.
- Planque, B., Fromentin, J.M., Cury, P., Drinkwater, K.F., Jennings, S., Perry, I., Kifani, S., 2010. How does fishing alter marine populations and ecosystems sensitivity to climate? J. Mar. Syst. 79, 403–417.

- Poulard, J.-C., Blanchard, F., 2005. The impact of climate change on the fish community structure of the eastern continental shelf of the Bay of Biscay. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 62, 1436–1443.
- Pyper, J.B., Peterman, R.M., 1998. Comparison of methods to account for autocorrelation in correlation analyses of fish data. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 55, 2127–2140.
- Rayner, N.A., Brohan, P., Parker, D.E., Folland, C.K., Kennedy, J.J., Vanicek, M., Ansell, T., Tett, S.F.B., 2006. Improved analyses of changes and uncertainties in sea surface temperature measured in situ since the mid-nineteenth century: the HadSST2 data set. J. Clim. 19, 446–469.
- Righton, D.A., Andersen, K.H., Neat, F., Thorsteinsson, V., Steingrund, P., Svedäng, H., Michalsen, K., Hinrichsen, H.-H., Bendall, V., Neuenfeldt, S., Wright, P., Jonsson, P., Huse, G., van der Kooij, J., Mosegaard, H., Hüssy, K., Metcalfe, J., 2010. Thermal niche of Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*: limits, tolerance and optima. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 420, 1–13.
- Rijnsdorp, A.D., Peck, M.A., Engelhard, G.H., Möllmann, C., Pinnegar, J.K., 2009. Resolving the effect of climate change on fish populations. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 66, 1570–1583.
- Rogers, L.A., Stige, L.C., Olsen, E.M., Knutsen, H., Chan, K.-S., Stenseth, N.C., 2011. Climate and population density drive changes in cod body size throughout a century on the Norwegian coast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108, 1961–1966.
- Rosenzweig, C., Casassa, G., Karoly, D.J., Imeson, A., Liu, C., Menzel, A., Rawlins, S., Root, T.L., Seguin, B., Tryjanowski, P., Hanson, C.E., 2007. Assessment of observed changes and responses in natural and managed systems. In: Parry, M.L., Canziani, O.F., Palutikof, J.P., van der Linden, P.J. (Eds.), Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, pp. 79–131.

- Sparholt, H., Bertelsen, M., Lassen, H., 2007. A meta-analysis of the status of ICES fish stocks during the past half century. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 64, 707–713.
- Stige, L.C., Ottersen, G., Brander, K., Chan, K.-S., Stenseth, N.C., 2006. Cod and climate: effect of the North Atlantic Oscillation on recruitment in the North Atlantic. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 325, 227–241.
- Sundby, S., 2000. Recruitment of Atlantic cod stocks in relation to temperature and advection of copepod populations. Sarsia 85, 277–298.
- Sutton, R.T., Hodson, D.L.R., 2005. Atlantic Ocean forcing of the North American and European summer climate. Science 309, 115–118.
- Svedäng, H., Bardon, G., 2003. Spatial and temporal aspects of the decline in cod (*Gadus morhua* L.) abundance in the Kattegat and eastern Skagerrak. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 60, 32–37.
- Svedäng, H., Stål, J., Sterner, T., Cardinale, M., 2010. Consequences of subpopulation structure on fisheries management: cod (*Gadus morhua*) in the Kattegat and Öresund (North Sea). Rev. Fish. Sci. 18, 1–9.
- Svendsen, E., Aglen, A., Iversen, S.A., Skagen, D.W., Smestad, O., 1995. Influence of climate on recruitment and migration of fish stocks in the North Sea. Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 121, 641–653.
- Visbeck, M., Chassignet, E.P., Curry, R.G., Delworth, T.L., Dickson, R.R., Krahmann, G., 2003. The ocean's response to North Atlantic Oscillation variability. Geophys. Monogr. 134, 113–145.
- Wegner, G., Damm, U., Purps, M., 2003. Physical influences on the stock dynamics of plaice and sole in the North Sea. Sci. Mar. 67, 219–234.
- Wieland, K., 2005. Changes in recruitment, growth, and stock size of northern shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) at West Greenland: temperature and density-dependent effects at released predation pressure. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 62, 1454–1462.