Central Scandinavian winter precipitation variability during the past five centuries reconstructed from *Pinus sylvestris* tree rings
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Using Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) tree-ring data, winter (September–April) precipitation variability in west central Scandinavia was reconstructed for the past five centuries. The main growth-limiting factor for pine growing in the studied area is summer temperature, but there is an additional influence of precipitation. Using principal components analysis on three tree-ring-width chronologies, a time series was yielded that contained information on winter precipitation (*Pw*). Using tree rings, only a small part (20%) of the interannual *Pw* variability could be explained. However, better agreement between the modelled and measured *Pw* data on semi-decadal time scales (45% variance explained) suggests that tree-ring data from the west-central part of Scandinavia contain useful information on those time scales. The driest winters, disregarding the absolute beginning of the record, were found at the beginning of the 18th century; the last half of the 20th century seems to be the wettest, at least for the past 400 years. Since our precipitation reconstruction agrees fairly well with previously published precipitation proxies, it is suggested that tree rings may add useful information to future multi-proxy reconstructions.
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Tree-ring data from high latitudes are frequently used as temperature proxies and have helped to enhance our understanding of past temperature variability (Mann et al. 1999; Crowley 2000; Jones et al. 2001; Esper et al. 2003; Mann & Jones 2003). However, there is a need for information on precipitation as well, since increasing temperatures are expected to accompany changes in precipitation patterns (IPCC 2001). Evidence indicates that Scandinavia has become increasingly milder and wetter over the past few decades (Hanssen-Bauer & Førland 1998; Tuomenvirta et al. 2000; Busuioc et al. 2001) and this is likely to have a considerable impact on various ecosystems, marine as well as terrestrial (Crawford 2000).

In the central Scandinavian Mountains, tree growth is limited mainly by temperature during the growing season (Kalela-Brundin 1999; Gunnarson & Linderholm 2002; Solberg et al. 2002; Linderholm et al. 2003). Tree-ring data have not therefore been regarded as suitable for annually resolved precipitation reconstructions. However, owing to proximity to the North Atlantic, the climate in west-central Scandinavia ranges from oceanic to sub-oceanic and, consequently, precipitation has a pronounced effect on tree growth in this area. It has been suggested that the weather conditions of the winter prior to the growing season may have significant impact on the subsequent year’s tree growth in central Scandinavia (Linderholm 2002). Although pines are dormant during winter, climate conditions during that period may affect growth conditions at a later stage, e.g. high winter temperatures may cause growth stress if mild periods break the dormancy (Kirchhefer 1999). In addition, high amounts of snow in winter can lead to sustained snow cover lasting well into late spring, and this causes later initiation of cambial activity (Vaganov et al. 1999). Another possible effect of snow cover is delayed thawing of the soil in spring, when evapotranspiration increases due to increasing air temperature, causing trees to suffer from frost drought (Thomsen 2001). Furthermore, mild spells in winter, with precipitation falling as rain rather than snow, would increase the likelihood of snow-free periods, particularly at low elevations (Mysterud et al. 2000) and therefore the ground is exposed to frost in following cold periods. This could lead to both a prolongation of ground frost in spring and to winter desiccation (Tranquillini 1979). Deep ground frost in cold winters with thin snow cover may also lead to winter desiccation and needle loss (Kullman 1991). Consequently, information on winter precipitation is most likely incorporated in tree-growth variability.

In this article, an attempt is made to relate Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) tree-ring data to winter (September to April) precipitation. The main objective is to determine the extent to which the tree-ring data can reflect winter precipitation on various temporal scales. When useful information about precipitation can be obtained, a reconstruction of precipitation is currently underway.
made. Since summer temperature is the dominant growth-controlling factor for the growth of Scots pine in this region, it is not expected that the tree-ring data capture the full range of precipitation variability on interannual time scales. Nevertheless, some precipitation information may be revealed if appropriate analysis methods are chosen. Using principal components analysis, information on winter precipitation for the past five centuries is presented and compared to previously published data.

Study area

The tree-ring data used in this study come from an area located within the Northern Boreal zone in central Scandinavia (Fig. 1), which is dominated by the main divide of the Scandinavian Mountains. From west to east there is a gradual change in the climate, i.e. from maritime on the west side of the mountains to subcontinental on the east (based on temperature and precipitation; Fig. 2). Consequently, the climate west of the Scandinavian Mountains is characterized by mild and wet winters and cool summers with the highest precipitation in autumn and winter. Owing to low topography of the local main divide of the mountains, maritime air masses can easily penetrate the central parts of the study area. This causes the area at and just east of the main divide to have a moister and milder climate than expected; it may be characterized as sub-oceanic. Further east of the mountains, climate is subcontinental, characterized by colder winters, warm summers and considerably lower precipitation, with a maximum in summer and autumn. The strong impact of the westerlies is responsible for the oceanic climate in the west, but sites east of the Scandinavian Mountain range are also influenced by Atlantic air masses.

Material and methods

Tree-ring data

Three Scots pine tree-ring-width chronologies were used to evaluate the possibilities of using central Scandinavian tree-ring data to reconstruct precipitation (Fig. 1): Nonshaugen, Norway, (site I), Handöl-Häckren, Sweden (site II) and Tannsjö, Sweden (site III). Sites I and III were situated at the western and eastern foot of the Scandinavian Mountains, respectively, and site II at the pine-tree line close to the main divide of the mountains (Table 1). All chronologies consist of samples from a minimum of 26 trees (26–38 trees) from living, mature and old Scots pines from scattered stands close to or at the species altitudinal limit of distribution to ensure that the chronologies contain high-quality climate information, i.e. a strong climate signal. At all sites, Scots pines were double cored and tree-ring widths were measured with a precision of 0.01 mm. The data were cross-dated and quality checked with the COFECHA software (Holmes et al. 1986). Statistics of the individual chronologies are given in Table 1. For most of the 500 years, the growth patterns of the individual chronologies are well correlated, indicating common growth forcing (Fig. 3). To maximize the common growth variations associated with climate while removing the growth trend, the tree-ring data were standardized (Fritts 1976) using the ARSTAN software (Holmes et al. 1986). Negative exponential functions and, alternatively, regression lines were used in the standardization process to allow for interannual-to-centennial climate information to be retained in the chronologies. The standardized tree-ring chronologies are shown in Fig. 3.

Climate data

Since the aim was to capture the regional precipitation signal in the tree-ring data, the precipitation data used for calibrating the model were gridded data from ‘gu23wld0098.dat’ (Version 1.0) constructed and supplied by Dr Mike Hulme at the Climatic Research
Unit, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK (work supported by the UK Department of Environment, Transport and the Regions, Contract EPG 1/1/85). This precipitation data set for global land areas, covering the period 1900 to 1998, is gridded at 2.5° latitude by 3.75° longitude resolution. Precipitation data from grid points 62°30’N, 11°15’E (Norway) and 62°30’N, 15°00’E (Sweden) were selected. Correlation between the two precipitation records was 0.60 (annual, 1900–1998), indicating a satisfactory degree of climatic homogeneity. The Norwegian and Swedish records were averaged in order to create a record that better represented the region covered by tree-ring data.

Analysis of the pine growth–precipitation relationship

To average the chronologies into large-scale patterns, to enhance the variance common to all chronologies, principal components analysis (PCA) was performed on the three standardized tree-ring width chronologies. PCA transforms a set of correlated variables to a new set of uncorrelated variables, where the new variables, PCs, are linear combinations of the original variables. To establish the relationship between PCs 1–3 (Fig. 4) and precipitation, correlation analyses were performed whereby the monthly total precipitation from September of the year preceding growth to August of the growth year was related to PCs 1–3 in the period from 1901 to 1998.

The model to reconstruct precipitation was initially calibrated using half of the available data in the 20th century. Usually, the data for calibration and verification are divided in half, so that first the data from the early part of the period covered by predictors and predictands are used for calibration, the data from the latter part being withheld for verification, and then the procedure reversed. However, in order to include climate variation over the whole period in the calibration and verification of the model, we divided the data set into odd and even years to evaluate models. Odd years were selected for the first calibration and verified with even years; for the second calibration, even years were used for calibration and odd years for verification. The final model used to reconstruct \( P_w \) back to 1500 was derived from regression over the full 1901–1998 period.

Results

The percentages of variance explained by the three PCs, which express the variance held in common by the three chronologies included in the analysis, were PC1: 52%, PC2: 28% and PC3: 20%. Correlation analyses disclosed a relationship between precipitation and PCs 2 and 3, being strongest for PC2 (Table 2). Significant positive correlations were found with precipitation during winter, but also a negative (significant) correlation in August. Consequently, PCs 2 and 3 were regarded as suitable for reconstructing winter (here: September–April) precipitation. Given that PC2 and

Table 1. Chronology statistics for the standardized tree-ring width chronologies used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of chronology</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Lat. (N)</th>
<th>Long. (E)</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1PC%</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>R*</th>
<th>No trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. 1500–2000</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>63°15’</td>
<td>10°37’</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>36.10</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. 1472–1998</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>63°07’</td>
<td>13°20’</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>43.90</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. 1471–1999</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>63°59’</td>
<td>16°32’</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>49.04</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MS = Mean sensitivity, a measure of the relative change in ring-widths from one year to the next.
SD = Standard deviation.
1PC% = The percentage of variation explained by the first principal component expresses the variation held in common among the trees included in the chronology.
SNR = Signal to noise ratio, measurement of the degree to which the chronology signal is expressed when tree-ring series are averaged.
R* = Mean correlation between trees.
PC3 together explain only 48% of the common variance in the three chronologies, it was not expected that they would be able to capture the full variability of winter precipitation (henceforth referred to as $P_w$) in the 20th century. Correlation between $P_w$ and PCs 2 and 3 was 0.42 and 0.39 (significant at the 0.05 level), respectively, in the period from 1901 to 1998. Combining PCs 2 and 3 gave a slightly higher correlation (0.46). Figure 5 shows measured $P_w$ versus modelled $P_w$ using PC2 and PC3 as predictors with a multiple linear regression model. It is evident that the model fails to capture much of the interannual variability in $P_w$; only 20% of the variance in the measured $P_w$ is accounted for by the model. However, since there was a similarity between measured and modelled $P_w$ at lower frequencies, coherency analysis (e.g. Chen & Hellström 1999) was applied to the data to find out if there was another frequency where the association between $P_w$ and PCs 2 and 3 was higher. The coherency, which can be interpreted as correlation coefficient between the two time series as a function of frequency, was computed according to Brockwell & Davis (1991). There were differences in the coherency on frequencies of between 1 and 4 years between PC2 and PC3, but at around 5 years both PCs displayed significant coherency with $P_w$ (Fig. 6). Consequently, to reconstruct $P_w$ with the highest possible resolution, 5-year means would yield the best results and in further analyses we used the PC chronologies filtered with a Gaussian filter corresponding to a 5-year average. The correlation analyses disclosed a stronger relationship between PCs 2–3 and precipitation using filtered data (Table 3). Correlation was significant and positive in five (PC2) and six (PC3) of eight winter months. Outside winter, there was a strong (significant) negative correlation between PCs 2–3 and August precipitation. Correlation between filtered $P_w$ and PCs 2 and 3 was 0.61 and 0.64 (significant at 0.05 level), respectively, in 1905–1994, and combining PCs 2 and 3 gave a correlation coefficient of 0.88.

The model to reconstruct $P_w$ was initially calibrated using odd years (1905, 1907, etc.) in the 20th century, the even years (1906, 1908, etc.) being withheld for verification in 1950–1994, and then the procedure was reversed. Statistics of the model are given in Table 4. The calibration/verification procedure with filtered data showed a coherent relationship between filtered PCs 2–3 and $P_w$ over the 20th century. The final model used to reconstruct $P_w$ back to 1500 was derived from regression over the period 1905–1994, where the model

Table 2. Correlation coefficients for comparison between PCs 1 to 3 and monthly precipitation from September of the year prior to growth to August of the growth year. Only significant (0.05 level) values are shown. The analysed period is 1901–1998.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. The standardized tree-ring chronologies used in this study. For locations of the chronologies, see Fig. 1.

Fig. 4. The principal component chronologies (PC1–3) derived from three tree-ring-width chronologies from central Scandinavia.
explained almost 45% of the variance in measured \( P_w \). The final reconstruction of \( P_w \) spans 1504–1994 (Fig. 7). The record shows considerable variability, especially in the 16th and 17th centuries. The period with least variability was found in the latter half of the 18th century. Above average \( P_w \) occurred in 1520–1561 (with a drop below average in the mid-1540s), 1626–1647, 1670–1695, 1732–1851, 1872–1892 and 1959 to the present. Below average \( P_w \) occurred in 1504–1520, 1562–1625 (except 1600–1610), 1648–1669, 1696–1731, 1852–1871, 1893–1958. The driest winters, disregarding the absolute beginning of the record, were found at the beginning of the 17th century, whereas the last half of the 20th century seems to have been the wettest, at least in the past 400 years.

Discussion

Comparison with other proxies

Several inferences about wet and dry phases during the Holocene have been made using a variety of data; peat humification, glacier equilibrium-line altitudes, treeline distribution variability, occurrence of and tree-ring patterns from subfossil wood, etc. These may be used to evaluate the validity of our \( P_w \) reconstruction. However, it has to be kept in mind that generally these data have a coarser resolution than our reconstruction, which means that the comparison should be made over longer (e.g. decadal to century scale) periods. Thus, by comparing our reconstruction with low-resolution data, we can obtain indications of the precision of the large-scale variability of our work. Figure 8 shows a comparison between our winter precipitation reconstruction and previously postulated wet periods and wet shifts in north-western Europe (e.g. Hughes et al. 2000). Owing to the differences in resolution, it is difficult to draw far-reaching conclusions from such a comparison, but a broad similarity between our \( P_w \) reconstruction and the other proxies is evident. The majority of precipitation proxies in the comparison come from peat stratigraphy data, and it should be noted that changes in humification in peat bogs have been interpreted as responses to changes in evaporation, and are thus more likely caused by changes in temperature rather than rainfall fluctuations (Barber et al. 2000). However, since low evaporation occurs during a milder (cooler) climate, it is most likely that in Scandinavia this will be associated with wetter conditions. The two proxies can therefore be compared. Contrary to three records (2, 5 and 7), our reconstruction

Table 3. Correlation coefficients for comparison between PCs 2 to 3 and monthly precipitation from September of the year prior to growth to August of the growth year. All values have been filtered with a Gaussian filter corresponding to five-year averages. Only significant (0.05 level) values are shown. The analysed period is 1906–1994.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC2</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
suggests quite a high variability in precipitation from 1500 to the beginning of the 18th century. It should be noted that some of the high variability in the early part of the record (16th century) may be exaggerated because of fewer, and also younger, trees in the tree-ring data. However, the period of prolonged, above average, precipitation between c. 1730 and 1850 is well represented in several records, although the onset is earlier in some of them. This could be due to differences in resolution among the records. On the whole, there seems to be a lag between the timing of wet shifts, as inferred from peat stratigraphy, and increases in precipitation, as inferred from the tree rings. This may be an effect of the response of a peatlands water table level to climate, which may lag climate change by several decades (Kilian et al. 1995; D. Charman, pers. comm. 2001). Consequently, by moving the wet events slightly back in time there is a better correspondence with our reconstructed increases in precipitation. Since the majority of climate proxies used in the comparison show wet periods, it is difficult to validate dry periods. Nevertheless, the dry winters at the beginning of the 18th century (our record) correspond reasonably well with high evaporation for Fallahogy bog, Northern Ireland, as reconstructed from meteorological data (Barber et al. 2000), suggesting that this indeed was a dry period. The records of glacier advances in northern Sweden (1 and 8) periodically agree well with our record, where, generally, advances are preceded by a period of increased winter precipitation. In addition, an agreement can be found between our Pw reconstruction and periods of favourable/poor pine regeneration in the central Scandinavian Mountains as reconstructed by Kullman (1987), where good seed production is favoured by warm summers and generally dry climatic conditions (Zackrisson et al. 1995). Periods of favourable regeneration conditions in 1660–1680 (early part), 1810–1830, 1850–1860 and 1930–1950 correspond to below average Pw or a marked decrease in precipitation (1810–1830). Periods of poor regeneration in 1830–1850 and 1880–1890 correspond to above average Pw. However, there are periods when favourable/poor regeneration does not correspond to below/above average Pw: 1770–1800, 1870–1880 (favourable regeneration, above average Pw), and 1680–1720, 1800–1810, 1860–1870 (poor regeneration, below average Pw). Furthermore, the increase in winter precipitation in the early 18th century is in line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calibration Verification</th>
<th>Odd years</th>
<th>Even years</th>
<th>Final calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calibration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance explained</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted r²</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of error</td>
<td>0.47*</td>
<td>0.45*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sign test</td>
<td>39+/6−*</td>
<td>38+/7−*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression equation Pw</td>
<td>327.5 + (68.7*PC2)</td>
<td>329 + (53.1*PC2)</td>
<td>328.3 + (60.8*PC2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ (116.5*PC3)</td>
<td>+ (125.5*PC3)</td>
<td>+ (121.2*PC3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.67*</td>
<td>0.68*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of error</td>
<td>0.44*</td>
<td>0.47*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sign test</td>
<td>38+/7−*</td>
<td>38+/7−*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at 95% level.

Fig. 6. The coherency spectrum between Pw and PC2 (A); Pw and PC3 and (B).
Fig. 7. Central Scandinavian winter (September–April) precipitation reconstructed back to AD 1500. Note that the resolution of the reconstruction is five years. The straight line indicates the mean winter precipitation (328.5 mm).

with the hypothesis put forward by Nesje & Dahl (2003) for rapid glacier advance in Norway during that period.

Central Scandinavian tree rings as precipitation indicators

Since this is the first attempt to use tree-ring data to yield high-resolution information on past winter precipitation variability in Scandinavia, where tree rings have previously been used as temperature proxies, the results of this investigation should be viewed with caution. There may be several objections to using high-latitude tree-ring data from this oceanic to subcontinental environment to reconstruct precipitation; especially since summer temperature is the main growth-limiting factor for Scots pine in this area. However, although temperature has proved to have the most influence on pine growth, precipitation too affects pine growth in western Scandinavia (see Kirchhefer & Vorren 1995; Linderholm 2002), where Scots pine growth patterns contain both temperature and precipitation information. It should be noted that although the correlation between \( P_w \) and PCs 2–3 is positive, the actual effect on tree growth of large amounts of \( P_w \) is negative. During periods of strong zonal atmospheric circulation, climate in west central Scandinavia would become more oceanic with increased precipitation and milder temperatures. Large amounts of snow in winter (and lower temperatures in spring) would lead to sustained snow cover lasting well into late spring. This would cause later initiation of cambial activity, as proposed by Vaganov et al. (1999). Furthermore, increasing soil moisture when melting occurs, together with increased spring precipitation, would result in an excess of water, and, periodically, water-saturated soils may cause anoxic conditions (Crawford 2000). The combination of these factors is the likely reason for the winter precipitation response in Scots pine in central Scandinavia. Still, tree-ring data from central Scandinavia cannot provide reconstructions of winter precipitation with interannual resolution. This is probably due to the secondary effect of precipitation on tree growth, but, more importantly, the \( P_w \)-pine growth relationship indicates storage of water in the soils before it affects pine growth. Most of the sampled pines at the three sites grow on glacial sediments and tills with quite good water storing capacities, and decreases or increases of the local water table in these soils may not be an instant process, but more likely the result of climate over several years. Provided that these pines respond similarly to trees growing on peat soils, a high water table results in reduced pine growth, as nutrients become less available in a poorly aerated soil (Mannerkoski 1991). In peat, water table variability is a slow process (see above), but is more rapid in glacial sediments and the correspondence between PCs 2–3 and \( P_w \) variability suggests that in the studied area the changes in the local water table which affect pine growth take approximately five years.

According to the above, phases of high \( P_w \) lead to decreased pine growth, and since variations in the local water table, through evapotranspiration, are dependent on temperature as well, it would be expected that the (negative) effect on pine growth of large amounts of \( P_w \) would be even more pronounced when summers are mild. In Fig. 9, pine growth, averaged for the three sites, is compared to our \( P_w \) reconstruction, where growth is a good approximation of summer temperatures. This comparison discloses that, generally, above average amounts of \( P_w \) correspond to below average growth, and vice versa. However, there are exceptions, e.g. in the late 16th to early 17th century when \( P_w \) as well as growth are mainly below average. However, this period is considered to be one of the coldest during the Little Ice Age in Scandinavia (see Grudd et al. 2002).
One factor that weakens the winter precipitation signal in PCs 2–3 is the additional response to August precipitation. While Scots pine in the studied area would commonly respond with decreased growth to high amounts of precipitation, the positive influences of August precipitation imply that in the late stages of the growing season the soils have dried enough to prompt this response, at least during dry summers (Kirchhefer & Vorren 1995). Further caution should be taken when assessing our \( P_w \) reconstruction owing to the slightly different climate–growth relationships at the three chronology sites, which will affect the common precipitation signal. Since the three sites are situated in a gradient across the Scandinavian Mountains from oceanic to subcontinental, growth responses to precipitation will undoubtedly vary through time at, as well as among, the different sites (see Fig. 2). Consequently, the accuracy of the reconstruction may also vary in time. But since there are no meteorological or reliable high-resolution precipitation proxies extending beyond the 20th century, the quality of the reconstruction is difficult to assess. Nevertheless, looking at decadal resolution, we feel that our reconstruction could provide additional information when examining temporal precipitation variability on a regional scale. In order to gain knowledge about past precipitation variability, several proxies must be combined, and tree-ring data could well be one contender.

Conclusions

Using PCA on Scots pine tree-ring data from central Scandinavia it is possible to extract useful information about regional winter (September–April) precipitation variability, especially on semi-decadal time scales. Our reconstruction shows large variability between wet and dry winters, especially in the first two centuries of the record; the driest winters, disregarding the absolute beginning of the record, were found at the beginning of the 18th century. Winters from the mid-18th century to the mid-19th century were predominantly wet, and the last half of the 20th century seems to have been the wettest in the past four centuries. Since summer temperature is the main limiting growth factor for Scots pine in the region, our reconstruction should be viewed with some caution. However, a fairly good agreement with previously published precipitation proxies (generally with coarser resolution) suggests that Scots pine tree-ring data can add useful information to, and be included in, future multi-proxy precipitation reconstructions.
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